NSGO Seaweed Hub: Processing Workgroup Meeting #2 December 10, 2020 2:00-3:15pm EST Zoom

Facilitators: Melissa Good, Alaska Sea Grant; Antoinette Clemetson, New York Sea Grant; Anoushka Concepcion, Connecticut Sea Grant

Participants: David Berg, Lazy Point Farms; Perry Raso Matunuck, Oyster Farm; Tom Shields; Kate Alfano, researcher in midcoast ME; Christian "Chrissy" Petitpas, MA Division of Marine Fisheries; Beatrice Mills, Matunuck Oyster Farm; Gabe Lundgren, Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries Shellfish Aquaculture Program; Aaron Milstein, Troutlodge Incorporated; Wendy Moore, Lazy Point Farms

Agenda and Minutes

Welcome & Orientation — Melissa, Antoinette, Anoushka (5 min)

- Record for our internal purposes and we will be writing minutes
- Features of the Zoom raising hands and or unmute, you can communicate privately with us and others but chat to everyone
- Length of meeting 1:15 hrs
- Use computer if you can

Round-the-Room Chat Box ---- All (2 min) Antoinette

• Write in the chat box: your name and who you represent.

Review Work Group Priorities — Melissa (5 min)

- Review of Processing Work Group focus areas and potential early actions identified at the Symposium and clarified at the 1st meeting.
- Review
 - Goal 1: Educated producers and consumers (education)
 - Goal 2: Ensure innovations in processing are readily available to the industry (technology)
 - Goal 3: Establish economically sustainable industry for processing seaweed (operations)
 - Education
 - Task 1: Education program targeting the general public and culinary professionals about the variety and seaweed (sea vegetable) preparations (recipes)
 - Outcome: Fact sheets, webinar, podcasts, etc.
 - Technology
 - Task 1: Research cultivation technology for new species
 - Task 2: Research product innovation by identifying existing and new processing technology

- Outcome: TBA
- Operations
 - Task 1: Conduct research and development into using sea vegetables as mixed ingredients products e.g., seaweed pasta, salt and pepper 'flavoring', etc.
 - Task 2: Invest in feasibility study to recommend a framework to establish a Regional Processing Model that can be adapted by different states
 - Outcomes: TBA

Breakout Groups Discuss and Prioritize Tasks — All (20 min)

- Pick a facilitator and note taker.
- Discuss each of the goals and identified tasks.
- Prioritize the top two tasks for this group to tackle.
- Come back to the large group and report out.

Break Out Group Summary

<u>Group 1:</u>

- Education program targeting the general public and culinary professionals about the variety and seaweed (sea vegetable) preparations (recipes)
 - Public education key to build demand and establish market; Demand dictates appropriate type/degree of processing facility
 - Recommendations about processing methods to encourage repeat demand of products
 - Chart of cultivated species and potential uses as educational tool (SHORT TERM ACTION)
- Research cultivation technology for new species
 - Research new uses for existing species and possible uses for new species
- Research product innovation by identifying existing and new processing technology
 - Using existing knowledge to find the optimal species and cultivation techniques in new locations; could be a matrix illustration (**SHORT TERM ACTION**)
- Conduct research and development into using sea vegetables as mixed ingredients products e.g., seaweed pasta, salt and pepper 'flavoring', etc.
 - Seaweed is a common additive in Asia; research why this model works in Asia and not in USA (marketing study not product development)
 - More work to expand variety of value added products
- Invest in feasibility study to recommend a framework to establish a Regional Processing Model that can be adapted by different states
 - Interim step is to develop coops contract with processing facilities
 - Consider other agricultural crops using similar technology so that seaweed doesn't reinvent the wheel i.e., use technology that's already being applied
 - Sharing processing technology
 - Seasonality allows for mixed use processing facilities

Group 2:

- Education program targeting the general public and culinary professionals about the variety and seaweed (sea vegetable) preparations (recipes)
 - Need readily available product before education and culinary/market outreach feasible
- Research cultivation technology for new species
- Research product innovation by identifying existing and new processing technology
- Conduct research and development into using sea vegetables as mixed ingredients products e.g., seaweed pasta, salt and pepper 'flavoring', etc.
 - Ranked #2 priority in Group 2
- Invest in feasibility study to recommend a framework to establish a Regional Processing Model that can be adapted by different states
 - Need processing facilities capable of servicing a diversity of products since seaweed production is very seasonal/not year-round
 - Post-harvest need to identify challenges of processing regionally
 - Permitting challenges/time-of-year user challenges
 - Ranked #1 priority in Group 2

<u>Group 3:</u>

- Education program targeting the general public and culinary professionals about the variety and seaweed (sea vegetable) preparations (recipes)
 - **OUTCOME**: Increase demand for kelp from general public and culinary professionals
 - Considerations: State boundaries and differing markets
- Research cultivation technology for new species
 - OUTCOME: Open sourced info on species, technology, and processing.
 Understand the potential of other sea veg than kelp. What are benefits/ uses for different species of seaweed? Considerations: What is meant by "new species"?
 - OUTCOME: Determine where growing kelp/ sea veg is successful, unsuccessful and why. Clear regulations per state. Ex: Kelp alcohol -- new product, new market, how do we get there
- Research product innovation by identifying existing and new processing technology
- Conduct research and development into using sea vegetables as mixed ingredients products e.g., seaweed pasta, salt and pepper 'flavoring', etc.
 - **OUTCOME**: Investment in culinary uses of seaweed
- Invest in feasibility study to recommend a framework to establish a Regional Processing Model that can be adapted by different states
 - **OUTCOME**: Clear framework for national seaweed industry including recognition, rules, and regulations

Notes: 20 minutes was not nearly enough time, so groups broke back out for an additional 15 minutes of discussion.

Whole Group Discussion on Prioritized Tasks - All (10 min)

- 1. Discussion
- 2. Poll Results: 1) Invest in feasibility study to recommend a framework to establish a Regional Processing Model that can be adapted by different states. 2)Research product innovation by identifying existing and new processing technologies.

Attendees are now viewing the poll results 1. 1) Please identify the task that you think is of the top priority for this group to tackle:		
Research cultivation technology fo	or new species.	(0) 0%
Research product innovation by ic processing technology.	lentifying existing and new	(3) 30%
Conduct research and development into using sea vegetables as mixed ingredients products e.g., seaweed pasta, salt and pepper 'flavoring', etc.		(0) 0%
Invest in feasibility study to recom establish a Regional Processing M different states.		(7) 70%

Tasks for Next Meeting - All (10 min) -

• How do we accomplish this task?

Post meeting -- Antoinette and Melissa (5 min)

- Next meeting date/time April 2021
- Google Drive shared drive for resource drops
- Contact others additional meetings

Original Agenda

Welcome & Orientation — Melissa, Antoinette, Anoushka (5 min)

- Record for our internal purposes and we will be writing minutes
- Features of the Zoom raising hands and or unmute, you can communicate privately with us and others but chat to everyone
- Length of meeting 1:15 hrs
- Use computer if you can

Round-the-Room Chat Box --- All (2 min) Antoinette

• Write in the chat box: your name and who you represent.

<u>Review Work Group Priorities</u> — Melissa (5 min)

• Review of Processing Work Group focus areas and potential early actions identified at the Symposium and clarified at the 1st meeting.

Breakout Groups Discuss and Prioritize Tasks — All (20 min)

- Pick a facilitator and note taker.
- Discuss each of the goals and identified tasks.
- Prioritize the top two tasks for this group to tackle.
- Come back to the large group and report out.

Whole Group Discussion on Prioritized Tasks - All (20 min)

- 3. Discussion
- 4. Poll to finalize

Tasks for Next Meeting - All (10 min)

• Top Task: How do we accomplish this task?

<u>Post meeting</u> -- Antoinette and Melissa (5 min)

- Next meeting date/time
- Google Drive shared drive for resource drops
- Contact others additional meetings